| | Page 1 |
|-----|--|
| 1 | |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | HEARING OF BOARD OF APPEALS |
| 9 | 1299 BEACON STREET |
| 10 | Wednesday, September 18, 2019 at 7:00 p.m. |
| 11 | Brookline Town Hall |
| 12 | 333 Washington Street |
| 13 | Sixth Floor |
| 14 | Brookline, Massachusetts 02445 |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | Reporter: Jennifer A. Doherty, CSR |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 2 4 | |
| 25 | |

| | | Page 2 |
|------------|-----------------------------------|--------|
| 1 | APPEARANCES: | |
| 2 | Jesse Geller, Chairman | |
| 3 | Johanna Schneider, Board Member | |
| 4 | Kate Poverman, Board Member | |
| 5 | Randolph Meiklejohn, Board Member | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | Maria Morelli, Planner | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | Rashna Balakrishna | |
| 10 | Stefan Vogelmann | |
| 11 | Geoff Engler | |
| 12 | Raj Dhanda, Applicant | |
| 13 | Haril Pandya, CBT Architects | |
| L 4 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 2 0 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 2 2 | | |
| 2 3 | | |
| 2 4 | | |
| 25 | | |

| - | | |
|-----|--|--|
| - 1 | | |
| _ | | |

PROCEEDINGS

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Good evening,

3 everyone. We are reconvening the hearing of 1299

Beacon Street. This is a comprehensive permit. 4

For the record, my name is Jesse To my immediate left is Johanna Schneider. Geller. To Ms. Schneider's left is Randolph Meiklejohn. my right is Kate Poverman.

The last hearing was July 15 of this And as people will recall we have an extension now through October 3, which means this hearing as currently scheduled needs to close and we cease taking testimony as of October 3.

Tonight's agenda is as follows: We'll hear a report on soil testing. We will then review the applicant's request for waivers. are ways that you request to be excluded from the normal town ordinances, like the zoning bylaw.

Then the Board will review -- we only have pieces, but we will review the procedural history and findings of a proposed decision. don't have conditions yet. They are being drafted and so everyone is aware, for a project as complex as this should the Board grant the comprehensive permit, there are an expensive number of fairly

- complex conditions that are placed on the project that the applicant has to stick to.
 - So obviously it takes a considerable amount of time to put those together.
- Anything else administratively,

 Maria? Next hearing?

MS. MORELLI: The next hearing is scheduled for October 2, and we will discuss draft conditions then.

Just for background, the last time the ZBA convened it was July 15, and the applicant presented a revision to the design in response to parking requirements to lessen the intensity of use and impact on the public way, and that resulted in the developer adjusting the unit mix, not the gross for residential and commercial from 65 units to 55 and affordable housing units were reduced in portion.

They were no further charges to the developer on the design from the ZBA, although the ZBA was concerned that the affordable units were reduced as a result of that change.

So for tonight's -- I just want to mention that we do have a court reporter.

Transcripts are available in two weeks. We do have

| this live stream on cable and they will archive |
|--|
| video through Brookline Interactive Group, and we |
| have a website page with all the files pertaining to |
| this case and eventually the draft conditions. |

One thing that was left hanging at the July 15 hearing was that we definitely wanted to have some soil tested to determine if there were any hazardous materials. The purpose is we want to ensure the site is suitable for residential occupancy, and so the applicant's geotech consultant GEI did do the sampling and the results came in.

We received a report dated August 2.

I do have it here. It's posted on the website, and basically there were no hazardous materials detected in that soil sampling.

Our geotech peer reviewer, Dan

LaFrance of Fuss and O'Neil did review this report,

and I'll just read a very brief paragraph because it

includes some conclusions and recommendations. And

this is dated September 9, 2019 from Dan LaFrance.

"Reporting and response actions have not be triggered as a result of the testing performed to date as noted by GEI. Quote, additional soil sampling and analysis will be necessary to completely characterize all the soil

for off-site disposal," end quote, specifically as noted by GEI and peer reviewer. Sampling is generally warranted at a rate of one sample per 500 and 750 tons. That's 300.25 cubic yards for disposal.

We concur with GEI's notation that additional testing will be required and recommend that the construction management plan for the project included contingency in the event the contaminated soil is identified.

However, based on the information collected to date the soil conditions are not indicative of a large scale environmental clean-up being required at the site and response actions have not been triggered at this time as a result of the findings.

Also related to geotech, and you can decide how you want to handle it, the abutter to the west, that's the Trader Joe's site, did have a geotech environmental reports submitted, and the ZBA's geotechnical peer reviewer did review them, and it appears that at least to our peer reviewer that the reports were done on the plans prior to their being revised. This project is not building in the water table and Dan LaFrance has stated that

| P | ad | e | 7 |
|---|----|---|---|
| | | | |

| groundwater issues are no longer groundwater |
|---|
| issues are no longer present with the design that |
| was offered, provided to the ZBA. So the two levels |
| of subgrade parking are not built to the water |
| table. |

If you like, I can briefly read just two paragraphs from Mr. LaFrance dated September 18, 2019.

"I have reviewed the two submittals prepared by Whitestone Associates in reference to the geotechnical and environmental concerns. The reports refer to the foundation as having an approximate depth of forty feet and therefore requiring dewatering and groundwater management. The reports further review nearby sites regulated under the state cleanup program 21E sites.

During earlier iterations of the project, dewatering and groundwater management were concerns that I raised to the ZBA and the applicant's design team. The applicant in turn revised the building program terminating the now two-story parking garage approximately twenty feet below grade above the elevation of groundwater.

Groundwater depth was confirmed with additional field measurements performed by the

1-800-727-6396

| applicant's geotechnical consultant. The current |
|---|
| building design does not extend below the |
| groundwater table and dewatering and potential |
| contaminant migration issues associated with the |
| dewatering near 21E sites are no longer part of the |
| project, therefore the concerns raised by the |
| third-party reviewer have been satisfied in the |
| evolution of the project design. |

And there was testing done in May and December which accounts for different levels of water. So that is regarding geotech. Unless there are other questions about that, the peer reviewer seems to be satisfied with the geotech issues.

So for waivers it might be expedient if the applicant wants to make just one statement about waivers. You have an annotated version and I think if might be helpful --

CHAIRMAN GELLER: I don't want to jump to waivers just yet, but if you want to comment about that, that's fine. Is that the complete summary? I take it we don't have -- we're not proposing to have our peer reviewer offer anything more than what they submitted in the past, correct?

MS. MORELLI: We will have a provision regarding the soil testing.

| 1 | CHAIRMAN GELLER: |
|----|---|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN GELLER: Conditions? |
| 3 | MS. MORELLI: Right. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN GELLER: Okay. Does the |
| 5 | applicant want to present anything about soil |
| 6 | testing? No. You're shaking your head in the |
| 7 | negative. |
| 8 | MS. POVERMAN: No. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN GELLER: Thank you. Okay. |
| 10 | So does the applicant want to walk through the |
| 11 | waivers request? Now, Maria, I understand there was |
| 12 | an initial list that was provided. I think it was |
| 13 | earlier. I don't think it was today but earlier in |
| 14 | the week, and then today there was a revised |
| 15 | version. |
| 16 | MS. MORELLI: Right. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN GELLER: I suspect that's |
| 18 | what you handed to us. |
| 19 | MS. MORELLI: Right. |
| 20 | MR. ENGLER: Mr. Chairman, for the |
| 21 | record, Geoff Engler for SEB representing the |
| 22 | applicant. I don't think it's our pleasure to walk |
| 23 | through all the waivers. I think it's a pleasure to |
| 24 | make a statement to say we're comfortable with the |

list Maria provided to us inclusive --

2 MR. ENGLER: The amended one 3 inclusive of the changes that the Town has introduced and recommended. I think we're okay with 4 5 I also, as the Board knows, the waivers go 6 hand and glove with the plans, so we respectfully 7 request that we need the waivers in order to 8 construct the plan as proposed. So I think we are 9 comfortable with the waivers that have been created

and we don't have any further comment.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Just for clarity sake, and you've seen this before, this Board does not grant a global waiver, and Maria has completed a version that has been circulated. So is your comment inclusive of that deletion?

MR. ENGLER: Correct.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Thank you.

MS. MORELLI: One small note. The Town is not responsible for any omissions. So the building commissioner and my staff is neutral. We don't recommend approval or denial of waivers. We are simply looking at the plans as they were at the last hearing and looking at the waivers list to ensure any waiver requests are consistent with the plans.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- So the building commissioner is here tonight to provide testimony to confirm that the waiver request would be necessary for this project to be built.
- 5 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Mr. Bennett, do you 6 confirm that?
- 7 MR. BENNETT: Yes, I do.
 - CHAIRMAN GELLER: I see you've been busy this summer.
- MR. ENGLER: Mr. Chairman, to Maria's point, we certainly acknowledge and understand as part of our preparation of the construction level documents and submittal of the building permit, if we miss something, it's our onus and our responsibility to appear before this Board to ask for that waiver.
 - We don't anticipate that being the characteristic. We think we've been thorough. We know Maria and the building inspector have been thorough, but I can't represent for 100 percent, but I don't foresee that happening.
 - If it were to happen, we know that's our responsibility.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Great. Thank you 25 very much.

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

| 1 | Board members, you have in front of |
|----|--|
| 2 | you a list of requested waivers. Let's run this as |
| 3 | we have done in the past. Let's run through that |
| 4 | list and if the Board members would simply say yea |
| 5 | or nay. You can say yes or no, sure. You don't |
| 6 | like yea or nay? Johanna doesn't like yea or nay. |
| 7 | She insists on yes or no. |
| 8 | Okay. A, I think. It's part and |
| 9 | parcel of the project, so yes. |
| 10 | MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes. |
| 11 | MS. POVERMAN: Yes. |
| 12 | MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Yes. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN GELLER: B. |
| 14 | MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes. |
| 15 | MS. MORELLI: Could I recommend you |
| 16 | list under column two the general requirement. Not |
| 17 | everybody might have a printout, so if you can say |
| 18 | B, affordable housing requirements, that will be |
| 19 | helpful for the audience. Thank you. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN GELLER: Sure. C, special |
| 21 | district regulations for the G175 district. Yes. |
| 22 | MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes. |
| 23 | MS. POVERMAN: Yes. |
| 24 | MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Yes. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN GELLER: D, 529 design |

1 review. Yes. MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes. 3 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Yes. CHAIRMAN GELLER: E, maximum floor 4 5 area ratio. Yes. MS. POVERMAN: 6 Yes. 7 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Yes. 8 MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN GELLER: F, maximum height 10 of building. There was some comment about the height of the mechanicals. I assume this does take 11 12 into account --13 MS. MORELLI: That is right, 14 mechanicals are not included in the height of the 15 building. It is a separate height requirement for 16 the mechanicals. 17 CHAIRMAN GELLER: So F, yes. MS. POVERMAN: 18 19 MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes. 20 CHAIRMAN GELLER: F.1, exceptions to 21 maximum height regulations. This is with reference 22 to the mechanical equipment. What is the result of 23 the request that it be condensed?

24

25

perspective, this is regarding Section 5.31.1 and

MS. MORELLI: It would be the

| there is a maximum height of ten feet. Exceptions |
|---|
| to that require a special permit. What's measured |
| on the plans is fourteen feet. And I certainly |
| understand architects want a little bit of leeway |
| until they can actually spec the system. I imagine |
| they will want it to be lower. We just want to have |
| it for the record we prefer it not to exceed ten |
| feet. So that is just |

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Would the staff agree to the ten foot mechanical height?

MS. POVERMAN: I just want to say

Mr. Boehmer emphasized this point about not wanting

mechanicals to be too high and show windows.

MS. BALAKRISHNA: Rashna Balakrishna for the developer. Our architects tell me that ten feet is sufficient for the mechanicals, but there may be additional height needed for the stair and elevator overrides. It can be explained further if you would like.

MS. MORELLI: Thank you.

MR. PANDYA: Haril Pandya. So typically the stair override and the elevator overrides are a four plus additional, so it's a code requirement so it's something we can't skirt. Those are the two things that usually end up popping over

| 1 | ten | feet | typically | for | the | floor | to | floor | basis | • |
|---|-----|------|-----------|-----|-----|-------|----|-------|-------|---|
|---|-----|------|-----------|-----|-----|-------|----|-------|-------|---|

On mechanical screening, that being screening mechanical equipment, I think that's a comfortable number, but a couple of extra feet for two or three locations where that happens. There is no get-around unfortunately.

MS. MORELLI: If the commissioner has any suggestion for dealing -- you've heard what the architect mentioned, Mr. Bennett. Do you have any further guidance for the ZBA?

MR. BENNETT: This is something that has come up in the past and the ZBA has it and there is no alternative typically granted for that special permit.

MS. MORELLI: So it sounds like it would be a waiver and perhaps we can put a condition that just minimizes the screening of the bulk.

MS. SCHNEIDER: It sounds like there may be a difference between the mechanical screening and other element conditions. Can we break that out?

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Just a clarification, what is the convention or the rule for measuring -- from where you measure the height? Is it from the average surface of the roof or the

- 1 | top structure of the full story below.
- 2 MS. MORELLI: The building
- 3 commissioner would actually be the best person to
- 4 answer that.
- MR. BENNETT: It's to the roof
- 6 structure, not necessarily the --
- 7 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Thank you.
- 8 MS. POVERMAN: I have a question. If
- 9 the mechanical, the bylaw says that mechanicals
- 10 | should be higher than ten feet, but it's a code
- 11 requirement that the elevator may be higher, then
- 12 this 5.3.1 actually wouldn't apply to the elevator,
- so we should be able to keep it in as it is.
- MS. MORELLI: Let's put it this way:
- 15 So provisions of 530 shall not apply to church
- 16 | fires, copula, stones, monuments, water towers,
- observation towers, transmission towers, windmills,
- 18 chimney smokestacks -- silos, derricks, conveyors,
- 19 flagpoles, masts, aerials, elevator, penthouses. I
- 20 think that's it. Water tanks, monitors and other
- 21 structures normally built above the roof. So this
- 22 is like I think what we're looking at, any structure
- 23 design from mechanical or electrical use shall be
- 24 concealed or screened for public view.
 - So substantial rooftop structures,

| observation towers, elevators, penthouses, and |
|---|
| mechanical equipment shall not exceed the height |
| limit by more than ten feet unless a special permit |
| is granted by the Board of Appeals. |

So it sounds to me like the bylaw doesn't separate mechanicals from elevator penthouses, so it sounds like you'll have to grant the waiver, but what will record is a condition that we will try to keep the bulk of the screening for the mechanicals around ten feet, and then whatever has to be covered. So it's not like we have a whole box like fourteen feet. Does that make sense?

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Just bifurcate the waiver provision, but let me suggest that with respect to the override for the elevator and the stairs that it be minimum required.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Can I ask a question about that? This is probably for the architect. Do you know if the type of elevator that's proposed will have a machine room above the roof? No?

Okay.

MR. PANDYA: Wouldn't be.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: If the stairs has to get the person up to the roof and the elevator override, I think it's usually twelve-something feet

- from the highest floor. Is there any reason that
 either the stair or the elevator override structure
 above the roof should be higher than the ten feet or
 whatever the number is for mechanical equipment,
 because I don't see how they could?
 - MR. PANDYA: The elevator would be the floor to floor plus usually four or five feet because they allow for the elevator to go up further.
 - MR. MEIKLEJOHN: But since the elevator isn't serving the roof --
 - MR. PANDYA: Right, but that override doesn't account for the machine on top of it. It's usual for maintenance to get on top of the elevator, so it's four plus four feet or three feet depending on the manufacturer's requirements. It's usually four plus. The stairs usually just four because you have to get on top of the roof for maintenance purposes.
 - MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Are you saying an elevator story above the roof plus?
 - MR. PANDYA: It is the elevator. Say for example it was ten feet on the top floor, that elevator has got to get you to the roof which would be the ten feet plus a maintenance.

1 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: In the past the 2 elevator does not take passengers to the roof. MR. PANDYA: There is to roof deck 3 plan as of yet, so right now it's just the 4 5 override. 6 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Last landing on top 7 of the interior floor. 8 MR. PANDYA: Right. It's not twelve 9 feet on top of the roof. 10 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: The override 11 structure is less than ten. 12 MR. PANDYA: Yes. 13 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN GELLER: So F1, yes, as 15 modified as suggested. 16 MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN GELLER: G, setback entrance to garage 20 feet and 19 feet four inches. 18 19 MS. POVERMAN: Yes. 20 MS. BALAKRISHNA: I would like to 21 make a comment. The architect noted in the last 22 couple of hours that he reviewed the dimensions of 23 the proposed garage entrance setback is actually

it turns out.

24

25

twenty feet one inch, so we don't need Waiver G as

1 CHAIRMAN GELLER: That makes me 2 happy. Thank you. H has been deleted. No, H has 3 been replaced. No, the original H was deleted and they simply stepped them up. 4 5 H is minimum rear yard and other 6 structure of principal use in a district. This is 7 the proposed setback to the property line shared

with 1297 Beacon Street which is the project. Yes.

- 9 MS. POVERMAN: Yes.
- MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes.
- 11 CHAIRMAN GELLER: I, minimum side

 12 yard and waiver of the calculation because the

 13 proposed side yard is zero feet.
 - MS. BALAKRISHNA: I have one more correction. The architect noted and that's the details should read the proposed side yard as one foot and the first and second floors should be deleted.
- MS. MORELLI: Okay. This is in I.

 Okay. The proposed side yard is one foot not zero

 feet.
- MS. BALAKRISHNA: Yes.
- MS. MORELLI: And delete first and second floor.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Any comment on

8

14

15

16

17

1 that, Maria? 2 MS. MORELLI: No. 3 CHAIRMAN GELLER: In favor. MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes. 4 5 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Yes. 6 MS. POVERMAN: Yes. 7 CHAIRMAN GELLER: I.1, landscape open 8 space. Yes. 9 MS. SCHNEIDER: 10 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Does the --11 MS. MORELLI: I just got the 12 landscape plans today, so if Mr. Vogelmann could 13 just state for the record the amount in square feet 14 the amount of landscape area. 15 MR. VOGELMANN: I just have to look 16 it up again. 17 MS. MORELLI: Sure. 18 MR. VOGELMANN: So the landscape open 19 area which you see in green on this, it would not 20 meet the required 20 percent of the gross floor area 21 of the building. So we are -- but I just will tell 22 you that it is 3,818 square feet that you see. 23 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Thank you. Yes. 24 MS. POVERMAN: Yes.

25

Yes.

MS. SCHNEIDER:

| 1 | CHAIRMAN GELLER: J, accessory | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | underground structures waiver of being within ten | | | |
| 3 | feet of the lot line. Yes. | | | |
| 4 | MS. POVERMAN: Yes. | | | |
| 5 | MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes. | | | |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN GELLER: K, off street | | | |
| 7 | parking space requirements, waiver from two space | | | |
| 8 | per dwelling unit requirement. Yes. | | | |
| 9 | MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes. | | | |
| 10 | MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Yes. | | | |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN GELLER: Second K, let's | | | |
| 12 | make it K1 and K2. | | | |
| 13 | MS. MORELLI: This is a | | | |
| 14 | transportation parking overlay district, so we have | | | |
| 15 | two versions. | | | |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN GELLER: Yes. And this is a | | | |
| 17 | waiver from residential parking ratios. And yes. | | | |
| 18 | MS. POVERMAN: Yes. | | | |
| 19 | MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Yes. | | | |
| 20 | MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes. | | | |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN GELLER: L, design of off | | | |
| 22 | street parking facilities. This is a waiver from | | | |
| 23 | the design prohibiting parked vehicles to obtain | | | |
| 24 | access to another tandem parking. Yes. | | | |
| 25 | MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Yes. | | | |

| 1 | MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes. | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN GELLER: M, this is a waiver | | | |
| 3 | of the demo delay. Yes. | | | |
| 4 | MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Yes. | | | |
| 5 | MS. POVERMAN: Yes. | | | |
| 6 | MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes. | | | |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN GELLER: And then what was N | | | |
| 8 | has been deleted I guess at the behest of the | | | |
| 9 | building commissioner as well as DPW. That's fine | | | |
| 10 | too. That's waivers. | | | |
| 11 | Next we are going to take a look at | | | |
| 12 | the findings in a preliminary draft decision as well | | | |
| 13 | as procedural history. And we just caution, this is | | | |
| 14 | not fixed in stone. I'm sure as it is worked on | | | |
| 15 | that there may in fact need to be additions, | | | |
| 16 | subtractions, corrections, but let's at least start | | | |
| 17 | the process. | | | |
| 18 | So procedural history, does anybody | | | |
| 19 | have a comment? Maria, you obviously have to fill | | | |
| 20 | in the date of the rubbish and recycle? | | | |
| 21 | MS. MORELLI: Yes. | | | |
| 22 | MS. POVERMAN: I didn't have any | | | |
| 23 | comments. | | | |
| 24 | MS. SCHNEIDER: None. | | | |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN GELLER: Findings. We can | | | |

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Findings.

We can

- undertake it in order where people can jump in with comments, I don't care which.
 - Let me just say Item 16, findings, there were words missing.

MS. MORELLI: Let me speak to 16 and 17. So I left that in there because I still don't have confirmation from the transportation division. There is going to be a loss of parking spaces on Soule in front of the project site, and it is possible that one parking space can dimensionally be accommodated in front of the site between the two curb cuts, but we did have testimony from our traffic peer reviewer that that parking space, if it were occupied, may not meet AASHTO standards and the transportation board typically does relax meeting AASHTO standards.

And that's regarding site distance because parking is in such a premium in the area, and I simply left those in there just as reminders that I still need confirmation from the transportation division regarding that parking space if there will actually be an elimination of all of the parking spaces in front of the project side on Soule.

MS. POVERMAN: I want to confirm that

- 1 there isn't any spots on Beacon Street. MS. MORELLI: No, there isn't. 3 MS. POVERMAN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN GELLER: Number 18, would 4 5 you explain to me where you're going with the 6 recitation. 7 MS. MORELLI: This is to --8 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Comparative 9 heights. 10 MS. MORELLI: It is comparative 11 heights because it's directly across the street, but 12 Beacon Street is a very wide boulevard, and it's 13 also Pelham Hall is bounded by three streets, so it 14 has breathing room. There are some differences. 15 I'm not saying just because there is an eight-story 16 building across the street. So maybe you want to 17 put some more qualifiers in there or eliminate it? 18 CHAIRMAN GELLER: These are findings 19 by the Board. I think any findings of the Board
- MS. SCHNEIDER: Eight stories.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Did I say eight
- 25 feet? That would be eight stories. I think there

that there are structures that are eight feet or

close to eight feet were more general. Pelham Hall

would --

20

21

- is a global acknowledgement that there are some structures.
- I would say what the Board didn't get into was why those structures were the size they are or the process or the amenities that allow for the height. So I think somehow if there is a finding that refers to other structures, it's without comment.
 - MS. POVERMAN: I don't see the necessity of --
 - CHAIRMAN GELLER: That's somewhat where I'm going with it. It's not like we said, Well, all of these other structures around it exist at this height and therefore this is a comparative height. I think it clearly states something exactly the opposite.
 - MS. MORELLI: I want to say when I did my any design analysis, I never spoke about height being the only metric you only look at in conjunction with the width of the street or the the depth of a setback. It's really set height and setback ratio that justifies buildings of a certain height.
 - MS. SCHNEIDER: Maybe this just needs to be flushed out a little bit more so that -- or

- just taken out, but if we're going to keep it in, I think it needs to be in reference to something else, something more related to this project that the Board found.
 - I mean, I remember Cliff talked about this. It was about being the island, being a broader road in front of, et cetera, so maybe if what we're trying to do is tie this into the height of this project, then I think we need to say more and if we can't tie it in, then just take it out.
 - CHAIRMAN GELLER: We can also say that I think the Board acknowledges they are tall buildings. I don't think the Board made a finding one way or the other about the relevance of that.
- MS. MORELLI: All right.
- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: I would agree with that.
- MS. MORELLI: So we can strike it.
- CHAIRMAN GELLER: Okay. My next
- 20 would be 19. Isn't the addition -- I think it's
- 21 | 1309A, isn't that the direct abutter?
- MS. MORELLI: There are three direct
- 23 abutters.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

- 24 CHAIRMAN GELLER: But I'm talking
- 25 about -- you're referring to the Trader Joe's

- grocery store as the abutter to the west. Isn't it really?
- MS. MORELLI: So the west is Trader
 Joe's is 1309.
- 5 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Center Place.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: I think they're two separate buildings. The piece that was added on that had a yoga store in there. I think it's a separate structure. I think that's 1309A.

MS. MORELLI: I will verify the address.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: From a factual standpoint just make sure. And also in terms you're going to reference the lease at Trader Joe's which leases space in which part leases space for Trader Joe's. It's a commercial building. They have a few more leases in that.

Twenty-three, I would reword -- well, let me take 23 and 24. I would reword it. I don't think that the property dispute that pertains to egress, frankly, is something that we have oversight over and you've recognized that in 25. Explain to me the need for 23, 24 which leads to 25.

MS. MORELLI: Because I feel that it might be considered important to acknowledge that

| you look at it because there was a question of | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| whether it was under the ZBA's jurisdiction, and we | | | | |
| did do our due diligence to discern. We did ask for | | | | |
| advice from Mass. Housing. They did not respond. | | | | |
| They affirmed in the negative. We consulted our 40B | | | | |
| consultant. The building commissioner expressly | | | | |
| asked in his letter to the ZBA for some guidance, | | | | |
| how should we direct, guide in this matter. | | | | |
| | | | | |

It certainly is a life safety issue and we wouldn't want it to look like the ZBA didn't do its due diligence concerning the life safety issue.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Anybody have a thought about that?

MS. SCHNEIDER: I think it all makes sense but I wonder with respect to paragraph 23 and what we should be doing is providing less detail and there is a longstanding egress or claim regarding or dispute regarding the egress in potential trespass between the site and the abutter to the east. That remains unresolved. Maybe I'd leave it at that.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: And define that and carry that definition forward as we get to the conclusion.

MS. SCHNEIDER: I would probably take

| out or probably tweak the first sentence. I would | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| take out the rest, the second two sentences of 23 | | | | | |
| and just leave it at that, and then we can go | | | | | |
| through the other steps to show that this was | | | | | |
| properly vetted but ultimately the Board does not | | | | | |
| have jurisdiction over this issue and | | | | | |

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Therefore there shall not be a question about our diligence about it.

MS. SCHNEIDER: I think our diligence gets up to the point where we figure out that we don't have jurisdiction over it. I don't know if we need to go further and say the permits -- it's up to the applicant to resolve this issue in order to obtain state level permits.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Whatever they need to do to get state level permits, they need to do it. There's nothing we're going to do to add or subtract.

Just as an aside comment, it was suggested by counsel for the applicant of -- I don't know whether the suggestion was as a condition or somewhere else within the decision that pertained to whatever was going on with this dispute. We absolutely would not be in favor of adding any

- comment about that dispute. That dispute is a private property dispute. That's between you and the neighbor. We're not going to add it to the decision.
- 5 MS. MORELLI: So that I understand 6 for Item 23, just rework the first sentence.
- 7 MS. SCHNEIDER: Then kill the rest.
- 8 MS. MORELLI: And 24, keep it?
- 9 MS. POVERMAN: Why would we need it?
- MS. MORELLI: I want to be clear, do
- 11 | you want me to delete 24?
- 12 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Yeah, I don't think
- 13 you need 24.
- MS. SCHNEIDER: Why don't we say we
- 15 consulted with the building commissioner.
- 16 CHAIRMAN GELLER: I think what you
- 17 can provide is you can provide that after
- 18 consultation with the building commissioner and Judi
- 19 Barrett, right?
- MS. SCHNEIDER: And an attempt to
- 21 reach Mass. Housing for a legal opinion, we
- 22 concluded that we do not have jurisdiction. I think
- 23 push them all together so that it describes the due
- 24 diligence that we did and that ultimately leads to
- 25 the conclusion that this is not us.

| 1 | MS. MORELLI: | Thank you. |
|---|--------------|-------------|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN GEL | LER: Thirty |

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Thirty, I found it confusing.

MS. SCHNEIDER: I didn't find it confusing. I thought it didn't go far enough in terms of describing the Board's feelings about how these issues were resolved.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Well, maybe my confusion was I didn't know what was there was going. Just...

MS. MORELLI: So I was reading the transcript where the revised plan was presented to the ZBA, and I think you expressed that you were disappointed that the number of affordable housing were reduced rather than any GFA being reduced to meet the parking requirements recommended.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: So then maybe it needs to be reworded to provide that the Board expressed its displeasure that affordable housing was sacrificed for increased commercial space.

MS. MORELLI: Okay.

MS. SCHNEIDER: Is this also the place where we talk about the Board's further disappointment that rather than responding to the Board and the public comment about the size of the

- project, the applicant chose to maintain the size of the project and fill the space with unnecessary residential amenities.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GELLER: I think there's a 5 way to word that that would be appropriate.
 - MS. SCHNEIDER: I wasn't saying that be verbatim but that's the sentiment I would like to have in there.
 - CHAIRMAN GELLER: That is fine. That was a finding of the Board. I think the sort of feeling globally as the Board was that it was an oversized structure simply that -- well, I'll leave it at that, that it was simply an oversized structure.
- And so I think you can start to put that together and we can look at that language.
 - MS. SCHNEIDER: That may be something we want to run by Judi Barrett as well.
- MS. MORELLI: Sure.
- 20 CHAIRMAN GELLER: Sorry to disappoint 21 but that's as far as we go.
- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: In 27 and 28 there's
- 23 technical --

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

MS. MORELLI: Yes. So I'm going to return to that. That's a little complicated, so I

- want to consult with Peter Ditto and the peer reviewer.
- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Had the soil

 condition or the finding methods record the recent

 cycle --
- MS. MORELLI: Yes, the due diligence,
 yes.

to the public an opportunity to speak, but it is not all good, but I want to speak just about those topics that we've touch on this evening. Those topics we've touched on this everything are soil, and soil testing. We touched on waivers, and we obviously touched on findings.

MS. SCHNEIDER: Can I ask a question? Since we already voted on the waivers, are we still taking public testimony about the waivers?

CHAIRMAN GELLER: I think waivers are technical, but if somebody wants to in the context of conversation raise a topic, I'm not going to exclude them.

MS. SCHNEIDER: We've already voted.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Right. They're technical in any event. So if people do want to

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

| speak. This does not fore | close the opportunity when |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| we start reviewing conditi | ons, which frankly is the |
| more important portion. A | nybody want to speak? No? |
| Okay. Anything from the a | pplicant? |

MR. ENGLER: Well, are we winding down? Why don't I reserve my right to comment until I see -- I guess we'd like to be prepared for the next hearing and to the extent -- I mean, it was helpful for Maria to get us a draft of the waivers a little bit before the hearing. I expect the conditions to be much more extensive and robust.

get them ASAP, it will allow us more time to even be helpful to Maria and have a little bit of collaboration thus making your job easier when we get here. I think that statement is of the obvious, but I know Maria understands that. We just want to be as prepared as we can for the next hearing.

CHAIRMAN GELLER: No, I think that's a great suggestion. The conditions clearly are the key portion of all of this and we need adequate time. Maria is well aware of this. That includes, frankly, members of the public. These are complex conditions and they're long, so the sooner we can get it, the better off we all are to digest it all

1 and think about it.

MS. POVERMAN: Jesse, I have a question. When is it appropriate to discuss some of the suggestions that the public has made, for example the ballards which I think are worthy of considering. Would this be in the conditions or is this something we want to discuss more fully now?

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Well, if you have a list that you put together which would be typical, Kate.

MS. POVERMAN: It is not --

you to share the list with Maria because I suspect that Maria already has started to put together the buckets which are the conditions which may be eighty conditions, and what you are listing here probably is going to fit almost entirely within buckets that she already has.

MS. MORELLI: So are you talking about permanent ballards? I did recall that comment, but we really do have to consult with the DPW professionals who really design the public way. And we understand the objective of the concern and there may be more than one way to deal with that concern rather than being prescriptive about the

| - | l | _ | | | | |
|---|---|----|----|---|----|--|
| L | S | oΙ | ut | 1 | on | |

So I share all of these comments with the other departments. That's why it takes so long to write conditions because we do consider all of these suggestions, and I have to consult with the professionals who have the expertise.

MS. POVERMAN: I don't want to lose the opportunity to have these things considered and have the applicant address them if appropriate, because I think that's an interesting way of addressing the parking concerns on the sidewalks and things like that. I understand what you're saying about the public way, but it is something we want to consider -- and I see Geoff jumping up immediately -- whether or not the applicant will be responsible at least in part for the construction of the ballards.

MS. MORELLI: Well, anything the DPW deems as worthy for some things warranted, DPW knows to ask for public benefits for mitigation to the applicant.

For instance, there is a Soule/Longwood improvement concerning both curbs, crosswalks, and ramps, and there was an initial discussion with the applicant, and so there is some

- discussion going on between the applicant and DPW
 about the estimate cost for the work and how much
 the applicant will be willing to pay toward that.
- MS. POVERMAN: I've never seen

 ballards anywhere in Brookline that I can think

 of.
- 7 CHAIRMAN GELLER: We've seen 8 ballards. You mean specific to sidewalks or 9 ballards in general?
- MS. POVERMAN: To sidewalks.
- CHAIRMAN GELLER: I've never seen them on sidewalks.
 - MS. SCHNEIDER: It sounds like, Kate, you may -- you were going to make these lists. It sounds like we, in the process, could benefit if you have specific stuff like that and getting that stuff to Maria so she can vet it through the town departments.

For example, DPW or transportation will be a non start because it will create these other safety issues. I'd rather do that now than going into the don't spend time discussing conditions that people who know more than we do about this stuff and we deem unsafe or impractical or whatever before we even throw it out to the

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

| 1 | a | aa | li | can | t | |
|---|---|----|----|-----|---|--|
| _ | - | _ | | | _ | |

MS. POVERMAN: I do want to say that some ballards like sink into the ground. I've seen them in Europe so that they make --

MS. SCHNEIDER: Like robots?

MS. POVERMAN: No. They go all the way down so that they don't permanently block an area if fire access or something is needed. I want to throw that out there, and actually my other list elements were addressed by the provisions to the geotech. So right now, that's all I have. Anything else I will --

CHAIRMAN GELLER: Anything else?

MS. POVERMAN: -- give to Maria.

chairman Geller: Great. Anything else? I want to mention -- I want to thank, I don't know, a member of the public submitted these photographs for entry into the record, so we are going to enter them into the record of this hearing.

Our next hearing is October 2, at 7 p.m. same place I assume, but it will be posted. I want to thank everyone for your time this evening. Somebody has a question over there? Yes, you.

MS. BURLOFF: I'm Margaret Burloff.

I'm the one who wrote about the ballards. I didn't

1 come up with that out of whole cloth. If you go around Boston and you look at the federal buildings 2 3 and a lot of the buildings in Boston, if you go past the State House, the State House has beautiful 5 ballards. They're not big, fat things. They're 6 beautiful ballards to protect pedestrians who are 7 traveling the sidewalks. There a lot of examples. 8 Maybe Brookline doesn't have one yet, but also 9 Brookline doesn't have a building like this yet. 10 if you are going to consider granting this building, I think there has to be some consideration to the 11 12 safety of the pedestrians who use that sidewalk. 13 MS. MORELLI: May I just ask you? So 14 that sidewalk isn't very wide and we need to leave a 15 four foot wide, so what is the objection? 16 MS. BURLOFF: We need a three foot 17 wide path of travel around street furnishings. 18 MS. MORELLI: What I'm trying to get 19 at is what are you trying to protect? 20 MS. BURLOFF: People. 21 MS. MORELLI: Is it because of 22 traffic on Soule? 23 MS. BURLOFF: It's because parking on 24 the sidewalk, the pictures that was provided to you

today is --

25

1 MS. MORELLI: You're talking about 2 the sidewalk in front of the building which may not 3 even have parking in front of the project site? MS. BURLOFF: The sidewalk along the 4 5 30 Longwood Avenue side on Soule, the southern side of Soule Avenue which is the side of the 30 Longwood 6 7 Avenue building. 8 MS. MORELLI: You don't want any 9 parking on the sidewalk. MS. BURLOFF: Absolutely. 10 11 pictures you were given today aren't an anomaly. 12 This is a daily --CHAIRMAN GELLER: We're not taking 13 14 testimony. Thank you very much. 15 MS. POVERMAN: I'm not going to be 16 here on the second. Just so you know this may be my 17 farewell appearance. Maria, I'm having surgery the week before. 18 19 CHAIRMAN GELLER: What if we told you 20 no? 21 MS. POVERMAN: Then I would say 22 okay. 23 CHAIRMAN GELLER: When did this

April of '18?

24

25

matter open? In April of '18. So you've got from

| | Page 42 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MS. POVERMAN: I have had all that |
| 2 | time. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN GELLER: And you're not |
| 4 | going to miss you're not going to be here for the |
| 5 | finale. It's like walking out in the last thirty |
| 6 | minutes of a movie. |
| 7 | MS. POVERMAN: My health or |
| 8 | MS. SCHNEIDER: Kate, come on. |
| 9 | MS. POVERMAN: If I can drag myself |
| 10 | in, I'll drag myself in. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN GELLER: Absent the |
| 12 | applicant giving us further time, we have a hard |
| 13 | deadline. Well, I'm sorry to hear that. Okay. |
| 14 | Anybody else have any disclosures? |
| 15 | MS. POVERMAN: You can Facetime me. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN GELLER: So this is |
| 17 | continued until October 2. I want to thank |
| 18 | everyone. |
| 19 | (Whereupon, the hearing was suspended |
| 20 | at 8 p.m.) |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| Page | 43 |
|------|----|
|------|----|

| 1 | CERTIFICATE |
|----|--|
| 2 | COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS |
| 3 | Worcester, ss. |
| 4 | I, Jennifer A. Doherty, Certified |
| 5 | Shorthand Reporter and Notary in and for the |
| 6 | Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify |
| 7 | that the foregoing Pages 1 to 43 to be a true, |
| 8 | complete and accurate transcript of the testimony of |
| 9 | the aforementioned hearing held at the time and |
| 10 | place hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my |
| 11 | knowledge, skill and ability. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE HEREUNTO SET MY |
| 16 | HAND AND SEAL THIS 30TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2019. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| | <%11353,Signature%> |
| 21 | Jennifer A. Doherty |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| 0 | 4 | accurate 43:8 | analysis 5:24 |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| 02445 1:14 | 40b 29:5 | acknowledge | 26:18 |
| 1 | 43 43:7 | 11:11 28:25 | annotated 8:16 |
| | 5 | acknowledgement | anomaly 41:11 |
| 1 43:7 | | 26:1 | answer 16:4 |
| 100 11:20 | 5.3.1 16:12 | acknowledges | anticipate 11:17 |
| 11353 43:20 | 5.31.1 13:25 | 27:12 | anybody 23:18 |
| 1297 20:8 | 500 6:3 | actions 5:21 6:14 | 29:13 35:3 42:14 |
| 1299 1:9 3:3 | 529 12:25 | add 30:18 31:3 | appeals 1:8 17:4 |
| 1309 28:4 | 530 16:15 | added 28:7 | appear 11:15 |
| 1309a 27:21 28:9 | 55 4:16 | adding 30:25 | appearance 41:17 |
| 15 3:9 4:11 5:6 | 6 | addition 27:20 | appearances 2:1 |
| 16 24:3,5 | 65 4:16 | additional 5:24 | appears 6:22 |
| 17 24:6 | 7 | 6:7 7:25 14:17,23 | applicant 2:12 4:2 |
| 18 1:10 7:7 25:4 | | additions 23:15 | 4:11 7:20 8:15 9:5 |
| 41:24,25 | 7 39:20 | address 28:11 | 9:10,22 30:14,21 |
| 19 19:18 27:20 | 750 6:4 | 37:9 | 33:1 35:4 37:9,15 |
| 2 | 7:00 1:10 | addressed 39:10 | 37:21,25 38:1,3 |
| 2 4:8 5:12 39:20 | 8 | addressing 37:11 | 39:1 42:12 |
| 42:17 | 8 42:20 | adequate 35:21 | applicant's 3:16 |
| 20 19:18 21:20 | 9 | adjusting 4:15 | 5:10 7:20 8:1 |
| 2019 1:10 5:20 7:8 | 9 5:20 | administratively | apply 16:12,15 |
| 43:16 | | 4:5 | appropriate 33:5 |
| 21e 7:16 8:5 | a | advice 29:4 | 36:3 37:9 |
| 23 28:19,23 29:16 | aashto 24:14,16 | aerials 16:19 | approval 10:21 |
| 30:2 31:6 | ability 43:11 | affirmed 29:5 | approximate 7:13 |
| 24 28:19,23 31:8 | able 16:13 | affordable 4:17,21 | approximately |
| 31:11,13 | absent 42:11 | 12:18 32:14,19 | 7:22 |
| 25 28:22,23 | absolutely 30:25 | aforementioned | april 41:24,25 |
| 27 33:22 | 41:10 | 43:9 | architect 15:9 |
| 28 33:22 | abutter 6:18 27:21 | agenda 3:14 | 17:18 19:21 20:15 |
| 3 | 28:1 29:20 | agree 14:10 27:16 | architects 2:13 |
| 3 3:11,13 | abutters 27:23 | allow 18:8 26:5 | 14:4,15 |
| 3,818 21:22 | access 22:24 39:8 | 35:13 | archive 5:1 |
| 30 41:5,6 | accessory 22:1 | alternative 15:13 | area 13:5 21:14,19 |
| 300.25 6:4 | accommodated | amended 10:1,2 | 21:20 24:18 39:8 |
| 30th 43:16 | 24:11 | amenities 26:5 | asap 35:13 |
| 333 1:12 | account 13:12 | 33:3 | aside 30:20 |
| 333 1.12 | 18:13 | amount 4:4 21:13 | asked 29:7 |
| | accounts 8:10 | 21:14 | associated 8:4 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| | T | I | I |
|--|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| associates 7:10 | 11:15 12:1,4 17:4 | calculation 20:12 | chimney 16:18 |
| assume 13:11 | 24:15 25:19,19 | care 24:2 | chose 33:1 |
| 39:21 | 26:3 27:4,12,13 | carry 29:23 | church 16:15 |
| attempt 31:20 | 30:5 32:18,25 | case 5:4 | circulated 10:14 |
| audience 12:19 | 33:10,11 | caution 23:13 | claim 29:18 |
| august 5:12 | board's 32:6,23 | cbt 2:13 | clarification 15:23 |
| available 4:25 | boehmer 14:12 | cease 3:13 | clarity 10:11 |
| avenue 41:5,6,7 | boston 40:2,3 | center 28:5 | clean 6:13 |
| average 15:25 | boulevard 25:12 | certain 26:22 | cleanup 7:16 |
| aware 3:23 35:22 | bounded 25:13 | certainly 11:11 | clear 31:10 |
| b | box 17:12 | 14:3 29:9 | clearly 26:15 |
| b 12:13,18 | break 15:20 | certified 43:4 | 35:20 |
| background 4:10 | breathing 25:14 | certify 43:6 | cliff 27:5 |
| balakrishna 2:9 | brief 5:18 | cetera 27:7 | close 3:12 25:21 |
| 14:14,14 19:20 | briefly 7:6 | chairman 2:2 3:2 | cloth 40:1 |
| · · | broader 27:7 | 8:18 9:1,2,4,9,17 | code 14:23 16:10 |
| 20:14,22 | brookline 1:11,14 | 9:20 10:1,11,17 | collaboration |
| ballards 36:5,20 | 5:2 38:5 40:8,9 | 11:5,8,10,24 12:13 | 35:15 |
| 37:17 38:5,8,9 | buckets 36:15,17 | 12:20,25 13:4,9,17 | collected 6:12 |
| 39:3,25 40:5,6 barrett 31:19 | building 6:24 7:21 | 13:20 14:9 17:13 | column 12:16 |
| 33:18 | 8:2 10:20 11:1,13 | 19:14,17 20:1,11 | come 15:12 40:1 |
| based 6:11 | 11:19 13:10,15 | 20:25 21:3,7,23 | 42:8 |
| | 16:2 21:21 23:9 | 22:1,6,11,16,21 | comfortable 9:24 |
| basically 5:14 basis 15:1 | 25:16 28:16 29:6 | 23:2,7,25 25:4,8 | 10:9 15:4 |
| | 31:15,18 40:9,10 | 25:18,24 26:11 | comment 8:19 |
| beacon 1:9 3:4 | 41:2,7 | 27:11,19,24 28:6 | 10:10,15 13:10 |
| 20:8 25:1,12 beautiful 40:4,6 | buildings 26:22 | 28:12 29:13,22 | 19:21 20:25 23:19 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 27:13 28:7 40:2,3 | 30:16 31:12,16 | 26:8 30:20 31:1 |
| behest 23:8 | built 7:4 11:4 | 32:2,8,17 33:4,9 | 32:25 35:6 36:21 |
| benefit 38:15 benefits 37:20 | 16:21 | 33:20 34:8,18,24 | comments 23:23 |
| | bulk 15:17 17:9 | 35:19 36:8,12 | 24:2 37:2 |
| bennett 11:5,7 | burloff 39:24,24 | 38:7,11 39:13,15 | commercial 4:16 |
| 15:9,11 16:5 best 16:3 43:10 | 40:16,20,23 41:4 | 41:13,19,23 42:3 | 28:16 32:20 |
| | 41:10 | 42:11,16 | commissioner |
| better 35:25 | busy 11:9 | change 4:22 | 10:20 11:1 15:7 |
| bifurcate 17:13 | bylaw 3:18 16:9 | changes 10:3 | 16:3 23:9 29:6 |
| big 40:5 | 17:5 | characteristic | 31:15,18 |
| bit 14:4 26:25 | c | 11:18 | commonwealth |
| 35:10,14 | | characterize 5:25 | 43:2,6 |
| block 39:7 | c 3:1 12:20 43:1,1 | charges 4:19 | comparative 25:8 |
| board 1:8 2:3,4,5 | cable 5:1 | | 25:10 26:14 |
| 3:19,24 10:5,12 | | | |

| complete 8:20 | consideration | cubic 6:4 | derricks 16:18 |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 43:8 | 40:11 | curb 24:12 | describes 31:23 |
| completed 10:13 | considered 28:25 | curbs 37:23 | describing 32:6 |
| completely 5:25 | 37:8 | current 8:1 | design 4:12,20 7:2 |
| complex 3:23 4:1 | considering 36:6 | currently 3:12 | 7:20 8:2,8 12:25 |
| 35:23 | consistent 10:24 | cuts 24:12 | 16:23 22:21,23 |
| complicated 33:25 | construct 10:8 | cycle 34:5 | 26:18 36:22 |
| comprehensive | construction 6:8 | d | detail 29:17 |
| 3:4,24 | 11:12 37:16 | d 3:1 12:25 | details 20:16 |
| concealed 16:24 | consult 34:1 36:21 | daily 41:12 | detected 5:14 |
| concern 36:23,25 | 37:5 | dan 5:16,20 6:25 | determine 5:7 |
| concerned 4:21 | consultant 5:10 | date 5:23 6:12 | developer 4:15,20 |
| concerning 29:11 | 8:1 29:6 | 23:20 | 14:15 |
| 37:23 | consultation 31:18 | dated 5:12,20 7:7 | dewatering 7:14 |
| concerns 7:11,19 | consulted 29:5 | day 43:16 | 7:18 8:3,5 |
| 8:6 37:11 | 31:15 | deadline 42:13 | dhanda 2:12 |
| concluded 31:22 | contaminant 8:4 | deal 36:24 | difference 15:19 |
| conclusion 29:24 | contaminated | dealing 15:8 | differences 25:14 |
| 31:25 | 6:10 | december 8:10 | different 8:10 |
| conclusions 5:19 | context 34:19 | decide 6:18 | digest 35:25 |
| concur 6:6 | contingency 6:9 | decision 3:21 | diligence 29:3,11 |
| condensed 13:23 | continued 42:17 | 23:12 30:23 31:4 | 30:8,10 31:24 |
| condition 15:16 | convened 4:11 | deck 19:3 | 34:6 |
| 17:8 30:22 34:4 | convention 15:23 | deem 38:24 | dimensionally |
| conditions 3:22 | conversation | deems 37:19 | 24:10 |
| 4:1,9 5:4 6:12 9:2 | 34:20 | define 29:22 | dimensions 19:22 |
| 15:20 35:2,11,20 | conveyors 16:18 | definitely 5:6 | direct 27:21,22 |
| 35:24 36:6,15,16 | copula 16:16 | definition 29:23 | 29:8 |
| 37:4 38:23 | correct 8:23 10:16 | delay 23:3 | directly 25:11 |
| confirm 11:2,6 | correction 20:15 | delete 20:23 31:11 | disappoint 33:20 |
| 24:25 | corrections 23:16 | deleted 20:2,3,18 | disappointed |
| confirmation 24:7 | cost 38:2 | 23:8 | 32:14 |
| 24:20 | counsel 30:21 | deletion 10:15 | disappointment |
| confirmed 7:24 | couple 15:4 19:22 | demo 23:3 | 32:24 |
| confusing 32:3,5 | court 4:24 | denial 10:21 | discern 29:3 |
| confusion 32:9 | covered 17:11 | departments 37:3 | disclosures 42:14 |
| conjunction 26:20 | create 38:20 | 38:18 | discuss 4:8 36:3,7 |
| consider 37:4,14 | created 10:9 | depending 18:15 | discussing 38:22 |
| 40:10 | crosswalks 37:24 | depth 7:13,24 | discussion 37:25 |
| considerable 4:3 | csr 1:18 | 26:21 | 38:1 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| displeasure 32:19 | 17:15,19,24 18:2,6 | expensive 3:25 | fill 23:19 33:2 |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| disposal 6:1,5 | 18:8,11,14,21,22 | expertise 37:6 | finale 42:5 |
| dispute 28:20 | 18:24 19:2 | explain 25:5 28:22 | find 32:4 |
| 29:19 30:24 31:1 | elevators 17:1 | explained 14:18 | finding 26:6 27:13 |
| 31:1,2 | eliminate 25:17 | expressed 32:13 | 33:10 34:4 |
| distance 24:17 | elimination 24:22 | 32:19 | findings 3:21 6:16 |
| district 12:21,21 | emphasized 14:12 | expressly 29:6 | 23:12,25 24:3 |
| 20:6 22:14 | engler 2:11 9:20 | extend 8:2 | 25:18,19 34:14 |
| ditto 34:1 | 9:21 10:2,16 | extension 3:11 | fine 8:20 23:9 33:9 |
| division 24:7,21 | 11:10 35:5 | extensive 35:11 | fire 39:8 |
| documents 11:13 | ensure 5:9 10:24 | extent 35:8,12 | fires 16:16 |
| doherty 1:18 43:4 | enter 39:19 | extra 15:4 | first 20:17,23 30:1 |
| 43:21 | entirely 36:17 | f | 31:6 |
| doing 29:17 | entrance 19:17,23 | f 13:9,17 43:1 | fit 36:17 |
| dpw 23:9 36:22 | entry 39:18 | f.1 13:20 | five 18:7 |
| 37:18,19 38:1,19 | environmental | f1 19:14 | fixed 23:14 |
| draft 4:8 5:4 23:12 | 6:13,20 7:11 | facetime 42:15 | flagpoles 16:19 |
| 35:9 | equipment 13:22 | facilities 22:22 | floor 1:13 13:4 |
| drafted 3:22 | 15:3 17:2 18:4 | fact 23:15 | 15:1,1 18:1,7,7,23 |
| drag 42:9,10 | estimate 38:2 | factual 28:12 | 19:7 20:24 21:20 |
| due 29:3,11 31:23 | et 27:7 | fairly 3:25 | floors 20:17 |
| 34:6 | europe 39:4 | far 32:5 33:21 | flushed 26:25 |
| dwelling 22:8 | evening 3:2 34:11 | farewell 41:17 | follows 3:14 |
| e | 39:22 | fat 40:5 | foot 14:10 20:17 |
| e 3:1,1 13:4 43:1,1 | event 6:9 34:25 | favor 21:3 30:25 | 20:20 40:15,16 |
| earlier 7:17 9:13 | eventually 5:4 | federal 40:2 | foreclose 35:1 |
| 9:13 | everybody 12:17 | feel 28:24 | foregoing 43:7 |
| easier 35:15 | evolution 8:8 | feeling 33:11 | foresee 11:21 |
| east 29:20 | exactly 26:15 | feelings 32:6 | forth 43:10 |
| egress 28:21 29:18 | example 18:23 | feet 7:13,22 14:1,3 | forty 7:13 |
| 29:19 | 36:5 38:19 | 14:8,16 15:1,4 | forward 29:23 |
| eight 25:15,20,21 | examples 40:7 | 16:10 17:3,10,12 | found 27:4 32:2 |
| 25:23,24,25 | exceed 14:7 17:2 | 17:25 18:3,7,15,15 | foundation 7:12 |
| eighty 36:15 | exceptions 13:20 | 18:23,25 19:9,18 | four 14:23 18:7,15 |
| either 18:2 | 14:1 | 19:18,24 20:13,21 | 18:15,17,17 19:18 |
| electrical 16:23 | exclude 34:21 | 21:13,22 22:3 | 40:15 |
| element 15:20 | excluded 3:17 | 25:20,21,25 | fourteen 14:3 |
| elements 39:10 | exist 26:13 | field 7:25 | 17:12 |
| elevation 7:23 | expect 35:10 | figure 30:11 | frankly 28:21 35:2 |
| elevator 14:18,22 | expedient 8:14 | files 5:3 | 35:23 |
| 16:11,12,19 17:6 | | | |

| front 12:1 24:9,11 | geotech 5:10,16 | group 5:2 | high 14:13 |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 24:23 27:7 41:2,3 | 6:17,20 8:11,13 | group 3.2 guess 23:8 35:7 | higher 16:10,11 |
| full 16:1 | 39:11 | guidance 15:10 | 18:3 |
| fully 36:7 | geotechnical 6:21 | 29:7 | highest 18:1 |
| furnishings 40:17 | 7:11 8:1 | | |
| further 4:19 7:15 | | guide 29:8 | history 3:21 23:13 23:18 |
| | getting 38:16 | h | |
| 10:10 14:18 15:10 | gfa 32:15 | h 20:2,2,3,5 | hours 19:22 |
| 18:9 30:13 32:23 | give 39:14 | hall 1:11 25:13,21 | house 40:4,4 |
| 42:12 | given 41:11 | hand 10:6 43:16 | housing 4:17 |
| fuss 5:17 | giving 42:12 | handed 9:18 | 12:18 29:4 31:21 |
| g | global 10:13 26:1 | handle 6:18 | 32:14,19 |
| g 3:1 19:17,24 | globally 33:11 | hanging 5:5 | i |
| g175 12:21 | glove 10:6 | happen 11:22 | i.1 21:7 |
| garage 7:22 19:18 | go 10:5 18:8 30:3 | happening 11:21 | identified 6:10 |
| 19:23 | 30:13 32:5 33:21 | happens 15:5 | imagine 14:5 |
| gei 5:11,23 6:2 | 39:6 40:1,3 | happy 20:2 | immediate 3:6 |
| gei's 6:6 | going 23:11 24:8 | hard 42:12 | immediately 37:15 |
| geller 2:2 3:2,6 | 25:5 26:12 27:1 | haril 2:13 14:21 | impact 4:14 |
| 8:18 9:1,2,4,9,17 | 28:14 30:18,24 | hazardous 5:8,14 | important 28:25 |
| 10:1,11,17 11:5,8 | 31:3 32:10 33:24 | head 9:6 | 35:3 |
| 11:24 12:13,20,25 | 34:20 36:17 38:1 | health 42:7 | impractical 38:24 |
| 13:4,9,17,20 14:9 | 38:14,22 39:19 | hear 3:15 42:13 | improvement |
| 17:13 19:14,17 | 40:10 41:15 42:4 | heard 15:8 | 37:23 |
| 20:1,11,25 21:3,7 | 42:4 | hearing 1:8 3:3,9 | inch 19:24 |
| 21:23 22:1,6,11,16 | good 3:2 34:10 | 3:12 4:6,7 5:6 | inches 19:18 |
| 22:21 23:2,7,25 | grade 7:23 | 10:23 35:8,10,18 | included 6:9 13:14 |
| 25:4,8,18,24 26:11 | grant 3:24 10:13 | 39:19,20 42:19 | includes 5:19 |
| 27:11,19,24 28:6 | 17:7 | 43:9 | 35:22 |
| 28:12 29:13,22 | granted 15:13 | height 13:9,11,14 | inclusive 9:25 10:3 |
| 30:16 31:12,16 | 17:4 | 13:15,21 14:1,10 | 10:15 |
| 32:2,8,17 33:4,9 | granting 40:10 | 14:17 15:24 17:2 | increased 32:20 |
| 33:20 34:8,18,24 | great 11:24 35:20 | 26:6,14,15,19,21 | indicative 6:13 |
| 35:19 36:8,12 | 39:15 | 26:23 27:8 | information 6:11 |
| 38:7,11 39:13,15 | green 21:19 | heights 25:9,11 | initial 9:12 37:24 |
| 41:13,19,23 42:3 | grocery 28:1 | held 43:9 | insists 12:7 |
| 42:11,16 | gross 4:15 21:20 | helpful 8:17 12:19 | inspector 11:19 |
| general 12:16 | ground 39:3 | 35:9,14 | instance 37:22 |
| 25:21 38:9 | groundwater 7:1 | hereinbefore | intensity 4:13 |
| generally 6:3 | 7:1,14,18,23,24 | 43:10 | intensity 4.13 |
| geoff 2:11 9:21 | 8:3 | hereunto 43:15 | interesting 37:10 |
| 37:14 | | Hereunio TJ.13 | microsing 37.10 |
| 37.14 | | | |

[interior - mix] Page 6

| interior 10.7 | Imaryladge 42.11 | languaged 27.02 | maona 2.11 |
|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| interior 19:7 | knowledge 43:11 knows 10:5 37:19 | longwood 37:23 | means 3:11 |
| introduced 10:4 | | 41:5,6 | measure 15:24 |
| island 27:6 | l | look 21:15 23:11 | measured 14:2 |
| issue 29:9,12 30:6 | 1 22:21 | 26:19 29:1,10 | measurements |
| 30:14 | lafrance 5:17,20 | 33:16 40:2 | 7:25 |
| issues 7:1,2 8:4,13 | 6:25 7:7 | looking 10:22,23 | measuring 15:24 |
| 32:7 38:21 | landing 19:6 | 16:22 | mechanical 13:22 |
| item 24:3 31:6 | landscape 21:7,12 | lose 37:7 | 14:10 15:2,3,19 |
| iterations 7:17 | 21:14,18 | loss 24:8 | 16:9,23 17:2 18:4 |
| j | language 33:16 | lot 22:3 40:3,7 | mechanicals 13:11 |
| j 22:1 | large 6:13 | lower 14:6 | 13:14,16 14:13,16 |
| jennifer 1:18 43:4 | leads 28:23 31:24 | m | 16:9 17:6,10 |
| 43:21 | lease 28:14 | m 23:2 | meet 21:20 24:14 |
| jesse 2:2 3:5 36:2 | leases 28:15,15,17 | machine 17:20 | 32:16 |
| job 35:15 | leave 29:21 30:3 | 18:13 | meeting 24:15 |
| joe's 6:19 27:25 | 33:12 40:14 | maintain 33:1 | meiklejohn 2:5 |
| 28:4,14,16 | leeway 14:4 | maintenance | 3:7 12:12,24 13:3 |
| johanna 2:3 3:6 | left 3:6,7 5:5 24:6 | 18:14,18,25 | 13:7 15:22 16:7 |
| 12:6 | 24:19 | making 35:15 | 17:17,23 18:10,20 |
| judi 31:18 33:18 | legal 31:21 | management 6:8 | 19:1,6,10,13 21:5 |
| july 3:9 4:11 5:6 | lessen 4:13 | 7:14,18 | 21:10 22:10,19,25 |
| jump 8:19 24:1 | letter 29:7 | manufacturer's | 23:4 27:16 28:5 |
| jumping 37:14 | level 11:12 30:15 | 18:16 | 30:7 33:22 34:3 |
| jurisdiction 29:2 | 30:17 | margaret 39:24 | member 2:3,4,5 |
| 30:6,12 31:22 | levels 7:3 8:10 | maria 2:7 4:6 9:11 | 39:17 |
| justifies 26:22 | life 29:9,11 | 9:25 10:13 11:19 | members 12:1,4 |
| _ | limit 17:3 | 21:1 23:19 35:9 | 35:23 |
| k | line 20:7 22:3 | 35:14,17,22 36:13 | mention 4:24 |
| k 22:6,11 | list 9:12,25 10:23 | 36:14 38:17 39:14 | 39:16 |
| k1 22:12 | 12:2,4,16 36:9,13 | 41:17 | mentioned 15:9 |
| k2 22:12 | 39:9 | maria's 11:10 | methods 34:4 |
| kate 2:4 3:8 36:10 | | mass 29:4 31:21 | metric 26:19 |
| 38:13 42:8 | listing 36:16 lists 38:14 | mass 29.4 31.21 massachusetts | migration 8:4 |
| keep 16:13 17:9 | | | minimizes 15:17 |
| 27:1 31:8 | little 14:4 26:25 | 1:14 43:2,6 masts 16:19 | minimum 17:16 |
| key 35:21 | 33:25 35:10,14 | | 20:5,11 |
| kill 31:7 | live 5:1 | materials 5:8,14 | minutes 42:6 |
| know 11:19,22 | locations 15:5 | matter 29:8 41:24 | missing 24:4 |
| 17:19 30:12,22 | long 35:24 37:3 | maximum 13:4,9 | mitigation 37:20 |
| 32:9 35:17 38:23 | longer 7:1,2 8:5 | 13:21 14:1 | mix 4:15 |
| 39:17 41:16 | longstanding | mean 27:5 35:8 | |
| | 29:18 | 38:8 | |

[modified - point] Page 7

| | | andinanaa 2.10 | allow 25.12.21 |
|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| modified 19:15 | non 38:20 | ordinances 3:18 | pelham 25:13,21 |
| monitors 16:20 | normal 3:18 | original 20:3 | penthouses 16:19 |
| monuments 16:16 | normally 16:21 | overlay 22:14 | 17:1,7 |
| morelli 2:7 4:7 | notary 43:5 | override 14:22 | people 3:10 24:1 |
| 8:24 9:3,16,19 | notation 6:6 | 17:15,25 18:2,12 | 34:25 38:23 40:20 |
| 10:18 12:15 13:13 | note 10:18 | 19:5,10 | percent 11:20 |
| 13:24 14:20 15:7 | noted 5:23 6:2 | overrides 14:18,23 | 21:20 |
| 15:15 16:2,14 | 19:21 20:15 | oversight 28:21 | performed 5:23 |
| 20:19,23 21:2,11 | number 3:25 15:4 | oversized 33:12,13 | 7:25 |
| 21:17 22:13 23:21 | 18:4 25:4 32:14 | р | permanent 36:20 |
| 24:5 25:2,7,10 | 0 | p 3:1 | permanently 39:7 |
| 26:17 27:15,18,22 | o 3:1 | p.m. 1:10 39:21 | permit 3:4,25 |
| 28:3,10,24 31:5,8 | o'neil 5:17 | 42:20 | 11:13 14:2 15:14 |
| 31:10 32:1,11,21 | objection 40:15 | page 5:3 | 17:3 |
| 33:19,24 34:6 | objective 36:23 | pages 43:7 | permits 30:13,15 |
| 36:19 37:18 40:13 | observation 16:17 | pandya 2:13 14:21 | 30:17 |
| 40:18,21 41:1,8 | 17:1 | 14:21 17:22 18:6 | person 16:3 17:24 |
| movie 42:6 | obtain 22:23 30:15 | 18:12,22 19:3,8,12 | perspective 13:25 |
| n | obvious 35:16 | paragraph 5:18 | pertained 30:23 |
| n 3:1 23:7 | obviously 4:3 | 29:16 | pertaining 5:3 |
| name 3:5 | 23:19 34:14 35:12 | paragraphs 7:7 | pertains 28:20 |
| nay 12:5,6,6 | occupancy 5:10 | parcel 12:9 | peter 34:1 |
| near 8:5 | occupied 24:14 | parked 22:23 | photographs |
| nearby 7:15 | october 3:11,13 | parking 4:13 7:4 | 39:18 |
| necessarily 16:6 | 4:8 39:20 42:17 | 7:22 22:7,14,17,22 | pictures 40:24 |
| necessary 5:25 | offer 8:22 34:8 | 22:24 24:8,10,13 | 41:11 |
| 11:3 | offered 7:3 | 24:18,21,23 32:16 | piece 28:7 |
| necessity 26:10 | okay 9:4,9 10:4 | 37:11 40:23 41:3 | pieces 3:20 |
| need 10:7 19:24 | 12:8 17:21 20:19 | 41:9 | place 28:5 32:23 |
| 23:15 24:20 27:9 | 20:20 27:19 32:21 | part 8:5 11:12 | 39:21 43:10 |
| 28:23 30:13,16,17 | 35:4 41:22 42:13 | 12:8 28:15 37:16 | placed 4:1 |
| 31:9,13 35:21 | omissions 10:19 | party 8:7 | plan 6:8 10:8 19:4 |
| 40:14,16 | onus 11:14 | party 8.7 passengers 19:2 | 32:12 |
| needed 14:17 39:8 | open 21:7,18 | path 40:17 | planner 2:7 |
| needs 3:12 26:24 | 41:24 | path 40.17 pay 38:3 | plans 6:23 10:6,22 |
| 27:2 32:18 | opinion 31:21 | pedestrians 40:6 | 10:25 14:3 21:12 |
| negative 9:7 29:5 | opportunity 34:9 | 40:12 | pleasure 9:22,23 |
| neighbor 31:3 | 35:1 37:8 | peer 5:16 6:2,21 | plus 14:23 18:7,15 |
| neutral 10:20 | opposite 26:16 | 6:22 8:12,22 | 18:17,21,25 |
| never 26:18 38:4 | order 10:7 24:1 | 24:13 34:1 | point 11:11 14:12 |
| | | 24.13 34.1 | 30:11 |
| 38:11 | 30:14 | | |

| popping 14:25 | program 7:16,21 | quote 5:23 6:1 | referring 27:25 |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| portion 4:18 35:3 | prohibiting 22:23 | r | refers 26:7 |
| 35:21 | project 3:23 4:1 | _ | regarding 8:11,25 |
| possible 24:10 | 6:9,24 7:18 8:6,8 | r 3:1 43:1 | 13:25 24:17,21 |
| posted 5:13 39:21 | 11:3 12:9 20:8 | raise 34:20 | 29:18,19 |
| potential 8:3 | 24:9,23 27:3,9 | raised 7:19 8:6 | regulated 7:15 |
| 29:19 | 33:1,2 41:3 | raj 2:12 | regulations 12:21 |
| poverman 2:4 3:8 | properly 30:5 | ramps 37:24 | 13:21 |
| 9:8 12:11,23 13:6 | property 20:7 | randolph 2:5 3:7 | related 6:17 27:3 |
| 13:18 14:11 16:8 | 28:20 31:2 | rashna 2:9 14:14 | relax 24:15 |
| 19:19 20:9 21:6 | proposed 3:21 | rate 6:3 | relevance 27:14 |
| 21:24 22:4,18 | 10:8 17:19 19:23 | ratio 13:5 26:22 | remains 29:21 |
| 23:5,22 24:25 | 20:7,13,16,20 | ratios 22:17 | remember 27:5 |
| 25:3 26:9 31:9 | proposing 8:22 | reach 31:21 | reminders 24:19 |
| 36:2,11 37:7 38:4 | protect 40:6,19 | read 5:18 7:6 | replaced 20:3 |
| 38:10 39:2,6,14 | provide 11:2 | 20:16 | report 3:15 5:12 |
| 41:15,21 42:1,7,9 | 31:17,17 32:18 | reading 32:11 | 5:17 |
| 42:15 | provided 7:3 9:12 | really 26:21 28:2 | reporter 1:18 4:24 |
| prefer 14:7 | 9:25 40:24 | 36:21,22 | 43:5 |
| preliminary 23:12 | providing 29:17 | rear 20:5 | reporting 5:21 |
| premium 24:18 | provision 8:25 | reason 18:1 | reports 6:20,23 |
| preparation 11:12 | 17:14 | recall 3:10 36:20 received 5:12 | 7:12,15 |
| prepared 7:10 | provisions 16:15 | recitation 25:6 | represent 11:20 |
| 35:7,18 | 39:10 | recognized 28:22 | representing 9:21 |
| prescriptive 36:25 | public 4:14 16:24 | recommend 6:7 | request 3:16,17 |
| present 7:2 9:5 | 32:25 34:9,17 | 10:21 12:15 | 9:11 10:7 11:3 |
| presented 4:12 | 35:23 36:4,22 | recommendations | 13:23 |
| 32:12 | 37:13,20 39:17 | 5:19 | requested 12:2 |
| principal 20:6 | purpose 5:8 | recommended | requests 10:24 |
| printout 12:17 | purposes 18:19 | 10:4 32:16 | require 14:2 |
| prior 6:23 | push 31:23 | reconvening 3:3 | required 6:7,14 |
| private 31:2 | put 4:4 15:16 | record 3:5 9:21 | 17:16 21:20 |
| probably 17:18 | 16:14 25:17 33:15 | 14:7 17:8 21:13 | requirement |
| 29:25 30:1 36:16 | 36:9,14 | 34:4 39:18,19 | 12:16 13:15 14:24 |
| procedural 3:20 | q | recycle 23:20 | 16:11 22:8 |
| 23:13,18 | qualifiers 25:17 | reduced 4:17,22 | requirements 4:13 |
| process 23:17 26:5 | question 16:8 | 32:15,15 | 12:18 18:16 22:7 |
| 38:15 | 17:17 29:1 30:8 | refer 7:12 | 32:16 |
| professionals | 34:15 36:3 39:23 | reference 7:10 | requiring 7:14 |
| 36:22 37:6 | questions 8:12 | 13:21 27:2 28:14 | reserve 35:6 |
| | 1 | | |
| | | | |

| residential 4:16 | road 27:7 | seal 43:16 | site 5:9 6:1,14,19 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| 5:9 22:17 33:3 | robots 39:5 | seb 9:21 | 24:9,11,17 29:20 |
| resolve 30:14 | robust 35:11 | second 20:17,24 | 41:3 |
| resolved 32:7 | roof 15:25 16:5,21 | 22:11 30:2 41:16 | sites 7:15,16 8:5 |
| respect 17:15 | 17:20,24 18:3,11 | section 13:25 | sixth 1:13 |
| 29:16 | 18:18,21,24 19:2,3 | see 11:8 18:5 | size 26:4 32:25 |
| respectfully 10:6 | 19:9 | 21:19,22 26:9 | 33:1 |
| respond 29:4 | rooftop 16:25 | 35:7 37:14 | skill 43:11 |
| responding 32:24 | room 17:20 25:14 | seen 10:12 38:4,7 | skirt 14:24 |
| response 4:12 5:21 | rubbish 23:20 | 38:11 39:3 | small 10:18 |
| 6:14 | rule 15:23 | sense 17:12 29:16 | smokestacks |
| responsibility | run 12:2,3 33:18 | sentence 30:1 31:6 | 16:18 |
| 11:15,23 | S | sentences 30:2 | soil 3:15 5:7,15,24 |
| responsible 10:19 | s 3:1 | sentiment 33:7 | 5:25 6:10,12 8:25 |
| 37:16 | sacrificed 32:20 | separate 13:15 | 9:5 34:3,12,13 |
| rest 30:2 31:7 | safety 29:9,11 | 17:6 28:7,9 | solution 37:1 |
| result 4:22 5:22 | 38:21 40:12 | september 1:10 | somebody 34:19 |
| 6:15 13:22 | sake 10:12 | 5:20 7:7 43:16 | 39:23 |
| resulted 4:14 | sample 6:3 | serving 18:11 | somewhat 26:11 |
| results 5:11 | sampling 5:11,15 | set 26:21 43:10,15 | sooner 35:24 |
| return 33:25 | 5:24 6:2 | setback 19:17,23 | sorry 33:20 42:13 |
| review 3:16,19,20 | satisfied 8:7,13 | 20:7 26:21,22 | sort 33:10 |
| 5:17 6:21 7:15 | saying 18:20 25:15 | shaking 9:6 | soule 24:9,24 |
| 13:1 | 33:6 37:12 | share 36:13 37:2 | 37:23 40:22 41:5 |
| reviewed 7:9 | says 16:9 | shared 20:7 | 41:6 |
| 19:22 | scale 6:13 | shorthand 43:5 | sounds 15:15,18 |
| reviewer 5:16 6:2 | scheduled 3:12 4:8 | show 14:13 30:4 | 17:5,7 38:13,15 |
| 6:21,22 8:7,12,22 | schneider 2:3 3:6 | side 20:11,13,16 | southern 41:5 |
| 24:13 34:2 | 12:10,14,22 13:2,8 | 20:20 24:23 41:5 | space 21:8 22:7,7 |
| reviewing 35:2 | 13:19 15:18 19:16 | 41:5,6 | 24:10,13,21 28:15 |
| revised 6:24 7:21 | 20:10 21:4,9,25 | sidewalk 40:12,14 | 28:15 32:20 33:2 |
| 9:14 32:12 | 22:5,9,20 23:1,6 | 40:24 41:2,4,9 | spaces 24:8,23 |
| revision 4:12 | 23:24 25:23 26:24 | sidewalks 37:11 | speak 24:5 34:9,10 |
| reword 28:18,19 | 29:15,25 30:10 | 38:8,10,12 40:7 | 35:1,3 |
| reworded 32:18 | 31:7,14,20 32:4,22 | signature 43:20 | spec 14:5 |
| rework 31:6 | 33:6,17 34:15,22 | silos 16:18 | special 12:20 14:2 |
| right 3:8 9:3,16,19 | 38:13 39:5 42:8 | simply 10:22 12:4 | 15:13 17:3 |
| 13:13 18:12 19:4 | schneider's 3:7 | 20:4 24:19 33:12 | specific 38:8,16 |
| 19:8 27:15 31:19 | screened 16:24 | 33:13 | specifically 6:1 |
| 34:24 35:6 39:11 | screening 15:2,3 | sink 39:3 | spend 38:22 |
| | 15:17,19 17:9 | | |

| spoke 26:18 | structures 16:21 | talking 27:24 | 36:1,5 37:10 38:5 |
|--|---------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| spots 25:1 | 16:25 22:2 25:20 | 36:19 41:1 | 40:11 |
| square 21:13,22 | 26:2,4,7,13 | tall 27:12 | third 8:7 |
| square 21.13,22 ss 43:3 | stuff 38:16,16,24 | tandem 22:24 | thirty 32:2 42:5 |
| staff 10:20 14:9 | subgrade 7:4 | tanks 16:20 | thorough 11:18,20 |
| stair 10.20 14.9 stair 14:17,22 18:2 | submittal 11:13 | team 7:20 | thought 29:14 |
| stairs 17:16,23 | submittals 7:9 | technical 33:23 | 32:5 |
| 18:17 | submitted 6:20 | 34:19,25 | three 15:5 18:15 |
| standards 24:14 | 8:23 39:17 | tell 14:15 21:21 | 25:13 27:22 28:18 |
| 24:16 | substantial 16:25 | ten 14:1,7,10,15 | 40:16 |
| | subtract 30:19 | 15:1 16:10 17:3 | throw 38:25 39:9 |
| standpoint 28:13 start 23:16 33:15 | | | |
| | subtractions 23:16 | 17:10 18:3,23,25 19:11 22:2 | tie 27:8,10 |
| 35:2 38:20 | sufficient 14:16 | | time 4:4,10 6:15 |
| started 36:14 | suggest 17:14 | terminating 7:21 | 35:13,22 38:22 |
| state 7:16 21:13 | suggested 19:15 | terms 28:13 32:6 | 39:22 42:2,12 |
| 30:15,17 40:4,4 | 30:21 | tested 5:7 | 43:9 |
| stated 6:25 | suggestion 15:8 | testimony 3:13 | today 9:13,14 |
| statement 8:15 | 30:22 35:20 | 11:2 24:12 34:17 | 21:12 40:25 41:11 |
| 9:24 35:16 | suggestions 36:4 | 41:14 43:8 | told 41:19 |
| states 26:15 | 37:5 | testing 3:15 5:22 | tonight 11:2 |
| stefan 2:10 | suitable 5:9 | 6:7 8:9,25 9:6 | tonight's 3:14 4:23 |
| stepped 20:4 | summary 8:21 | 34:13 | tons 6:4 |
| steps 30:4 | summer 11:9 | thank 9:9 10:17 | top 16:1 18:13,14 |
| stick 4:2 | sure 12:5,20 21:17 | | 18:18,23 19:6,9 |
| stone 23:14 | 23:14 28:13 33:19 | 16:7 19:13 20:2 | topic 34:20 |
| stones 16:16 | surface 15:25 | 21:23 32:1 39:16 | topics 34:11,12 |
| store 28:1,8 | surgery 41:17 | 39:22 41:14 42:17 | touch 34:11 |
| stories 25:23,25 | suspect 9:17 36:13 | thanks 25:3 | touched 34:12,13 |
| story 7:22 16:1 | suspended 42:19 | thing 5:5 | 34:14 |
| 18:21 25:15 | system 14:5 | things 14:25 37:8 | towers 16:16,17 |
| stream 5:1 | t | 37:12,19 40:5 | 16:17 17:1 |
| street 1:9,12 3:4 | t 43:1,1 | think 8:17 9:12,13 | town 1:11 3:18 |
| 20:8 22:6,22 25:1 | table 6:25 7:5 8:3 | 9:22,23 10:4,8 | 10:3,19 38:17 |
| 25:11,12,16 26:20 | take 8:21 13:11 | 11:18 12:8 15:3 | trader 6:19 27:25 |
| 40:17 | 19:2 23:11 27:10 | 16:20,22 17:25 | 28:3,14,15 |
| streets 25:13 | 28:19 29:25 30:2 | 25:19,25 26:6,15 | traffic 24:13 40:22 |
| strike 27:18 | taken 27:1 | 27:2,9,12,13,20 | transcript 32:12 |
| structure 16:1,6 | takes 4:3 37:3 | 28:6,8,9,20 29:15 | 43:8 |
| 16:22 18:2 19:11 | talk 32:23 | 30:10 31:12,16,22 | transcripts 4:25 |
| 20:6 28:9 33:12 | talked 27:5 | 32:13 33:4,10,15 | transmission |
| 33:14 | taincu 41.3 | 34:18 35:16,19 | 16:17 |
| | | | |

| 4 | | 24.1 0 10 05 25 2 | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| transportation | unresolved 29:21 | 34:1,8,10,25 35:3 | worthy 36:5 37:19 |
| 22:14 24:7,15,21 | unsafe 38:24 | 35:17 36:7 37:7 | write 37:4 |
| 38:19 | urge 36:12 | 37:13 39:2,8,16,16 | wrote 39:25 |
| travel 40:17 | use 4:13 16:23 | 39:22 41:8 42:17 | y |
| traveling 40:7 | 20:6 40:12 | wanted 5:6 | yard 20:5,12,13,16 |
| trespass 29:19 | usual 18:14 | wanting 14:12 | 20:20 |
| triggered 5:22 | usually 14:25 | wants 8:15 34:19 | yards 6:4 |
| 6:15 | 17:25 18:7,16,17 | warranted 6:3 | yea 12:4,6,6 |
| true 43:7 | V | 37:19 | yeah 31:12 |
| try 17:9 | vehicles 22:23 | washington 1:12 | year 3:10 |
| trying 27:8 40:18 | verbatim 33:7 | water 6:25 7:4 | yoga 28:8 |
| 40:19 | verify 28:10 | 8:11 16:16,20 | Z |
| turn 7:20 | version 8:16 9:15 | way 4:14 16:14 | |
| turns 19:25 | 10:14 | 27:14 33:5 36:22 | zba 4:11,20,21 7:3 |
| tweak 30:1 | versions 22:15 | 36:24 37:10,13 | 7:19 15:10,12 |
| twelve 17:25 19:8 | vet 38:17 | 39:7 | 29:7,10 32:13 |
| twenty 7:22 19:24 | vetted 30:5 | ways 3:17 | zba's 6:21 29:2 |
| 28:18 | video 5:2 | we've 11:18 34:11 | zero 20:13,20 |
| two 4:25 7:3,7,9 | view 16:24 | 34:12,22 38:7 | zoning 3:18 |
| 7:22 12:16 14:25 | vogelmann 2:10 | website 5:3,13 | |
| 15:5 22:7,15 | 21:12,15,18 | wednesday 1:10 | |
| 24:11 28:6 30:2 | voted 34:16,23 | week 9:14 41:18 | |
| type 17:19 | | weeks 4:25 | |
| typical 36:9 | W | west 6:19 28:1,3 | |
| typically 14:22 | waiver 10:13,24 | whereof 43:15 | |
| 15:1,13 24:15 | 11:3,16 15:16 | whitestone 7:10 | |
| u | 17:8,14 19:24 | wide 25:12 40:14 | |
| ultimately 30:5 | 20:12 22:2,7,17,22 | 40:15,17 | |
| 31:24 | 23:2 | width 26:20 | |
| underground 22:2 | waivers 3:16,16 | willing 38:3 | |
| understand 9:11 | 8:14,16,19 9:11,23 | winding 35:5 | |
| 11:11 14:4 31:5 | 10:5,7,9,21,23 | windmills 16:17 | |
| 36:23 37:12 | 12:2 23:10 34:13 | windows 14:13 | |
| understands 35:17 | 34:16,17,18 35:9 | witness 43:15 | |
| undertake 24:1 | walk 9:10,22 | wonder 29:16 | |
| undertake 24.1 unfortunately | walking 42:5 | worcester 43:3 | |
| 15:6 | want 4:23 5:8 6:18 | word 33:5 | |
| unit 4:15 22:8 | 8:18,19 9:5,10 | words 24:4 | |
| units 4:16,17,21 | 14:4,6,6,11 24:25 | work 38:2 | |
| unnecessary 33:2 | 25:16 26:17 29:10 | worked 23:14 | |
| unifecessal y 33.2 | 31:10,11 33:18 | | |
| | | | |